Put on Spot by U Thant

U.S. Firm in Stand Against A-Ban Talks

By Murrey Marder Staff Reporter

American officials said last Soviet governments are reprenight that the United States sented in Peking, and we have was totally skeptical about all periodic talks with the Chiovertures toward nuclear dis-armament and arms control. But that statement actually made by Communist China was intended as more of a since its first nuclear blas last week.

There is no indication wha ever, officials here said, th Red China is seriously inte ested in any negotiations of terms that would interest th United States.

Department spokesman said France and the People's Rethat the existing "channels for public of China, perhaps somea dialogue are open" if Red time in 1965." China has "anything constructive to say" to the world's nu- its first nuclear device last clear powers. The spokesman, week, some world pressure Robert J. McCloskey, noted has been building up for the that "the British, French and

rebuff than an invitation. The United States was trying to get off the spot that United Nations Secretary General U Thant had put it on the day before. Thant publicly sug-gested "a dialogue between the United States, the Soviet Earlier in the day, a State Union, the United Kingdom,

Since Red China exploded

See POLICY, A13, Col. 6

nations of the world, and particularly the five now called nuclear powers, to look at the problem of the spread of nuclear weapons.

Red China pushed in that direction by coupling its announcement of its nuclear: test with a call for a world summit conference to ban all nuclear weapons. Secretary of State Dean Rusk promptly rejected that overture as a profaganda "smokescreen." But Communist China's formal repetition of the idea, U Thant's comment, and other related suggestions, indicate continued pressure along these lines.

The United States wants, if possible, to avoid being put in a defensive propapande position. If other nations desire it, a spokesman said yesterday, Red China even could be admitted to the Geneva disarmament talks.

But the United States is more determined, officials emphasized last night, to avoid being pushed into any action that would prejudice its security interests.

It is attractive enough, those officials said, for Red China with its mass of 700 million people and a militant world revolutionary policy, and one nuclear explosion to its credit, to call on all other nations to outlaw nuclear weaponry. But the United States, it was said, with nuclear weapons now vital for its security. would be foolish to consider

any such offer unless it is a sus" to admit Red China as workable and controllable por- a non-U.N. member to those tion of a sincere, stage-by-Geneva talks, the United stage reduction of both nu-States would go along with it. clear and non-nuclear weapons and armies.

has produced some increased atmospheric tests in the face talk among the nonaligned nations of Africa and Asia for countries to ban such tests." the need to admit her to the United Nations. United States thing constructive to say," he officials last night said that to do so would amount to re-so saying it are available to warding Peking for new "mis-them. We haven't heard anybehavior."

They said a recent American yet. estimate convinced them that Red China would be denied Geneva talks, said McCloskey,

U.N., however, there is also U.N., however, there is also "Even so," McCloskey con-the question of whether Red tinued, "it is obvious that at chir for France.

that if there was a "consen-negotiations."

"The Chinese Communists have repelled world opinion,' Red China's nuclear blast he said, "by starting (nuclear) of an agreement by 107

"If the Chinese have anycontinued, "the channels for thing constructive from them

As for participation in the entry to the U.N. again this Secretary Rusk has said the session by about the same Chinese position on arms conmargin of votes as last year, trol and disarmament "has Apart from admission to the been persistently negative."

China now might be asked to some stage in the disarmamjoin in the Geneva disarma-ent process all militarily signment conferences. The dis-ificant nations must particiarmament group consists of pate in arms control agree-18 nations officially, but only ments, if such agreements are 17 in actuality, with an empty to have any real meaning. In this sense, we have never pre-State Department spokes-cluded the participation of man McCloskey said yesterday any country in disarmament